

Montana Commission on Community Service Peer Review Score sheet

<div> <div>2007 AmeriCorps Planning Application</div> <div> Applicant: _____ Reviewer Name: _____ </div> </div>	
<div>I. Program Design – Rationale and Approach</div>	
<div> <div>Focus Questions:</div> <div>Does the applicant:</div> <div>Describe the need to be addressed, the target communities to be served, and the process to be used to document the need?</div> <div>To the extent possible, explain the activities proposed to address the need and the roles envisioned for AmeriCorps members and community participants in these activities?</div> <div>Describe in general what measurable outputs and outcomes will be achieved?</div> <div>Discuss how the target communities have been involved in planning the program to date and how they will continue to be involved in the planning process and implementation?</div> <div>Explain plans for ensuring that the proposed program builds on (without duplicating), or reflects collaboration with, other national and community service programs supported by the Corporation in Montana?</div> <div>Discuss how program design is replicable?</div> </div>	<div> <div>Comments (Strengths and Weaknesses):</div> <div>SECTION SCORE (scale 1-10): _____</div> </div>

Montana Commission on Community Service Peer Review Score sheet

[illegible]

Montana Commission on Community Service Peer Review Score sheet

<div> <div>2007 AmeriCorps Planning Application</div> <div> Applicant: _____ Reviewer Name: _____ </div> </div>	
<div>I. Program Design – Community Outputs and Outcomes</div>	
<div> <div>Focus Questions:</div> <div>Does the applicant:</div> <div>Describe plans for the sustainability of the program beyond the presence of federal support, including strategies to diversify funding sources to include a wide range of stakeholders (such as state, local, and private sector funding); strategies for recruiting and supporting volunteers to sustain member activities beyond AmeriCorps, or how the community will maintain the project?</div> <div>Discuss plans to incorporate volunteer generation (non AmeriCorps members) and support into the proposed program to expand its reach in the community?</div> <div>Explain how the proposed program will enhance the capacity-building of its organization, service sites, and other important organizations and institutions in the community?</div> </div>	<div> <div>Comments (Strengths and Weaknesses):</div> <div>SECTION SCORE (scale 1-10): _____</div> </div>

Montana Commission on Community Service Peer Review Score sheet

<div> <div>2007 AmeriCorps Planning Application</div> <div> Applicant: _____ Reviewer Name: _____ </div> </div>	
<div>II. Organizational Capability</div>	
<div> <div>Focus Questions:</div> <div>Does the applicant:</div> <div>Provide a brief history of the organization including the year it was established and funding history, if any, with the Corporation?</div> <div>Describe its ability to successfully plan an AmeriCorps program?</div> <div>Describe how the planning period will be used to develop the capacity to effectively manage an operating program including: establishing systems and processes for sound programmatic and fiscal oversight; creating a process for selecting operating and service sites (if applicable) that will ensure the most appropriate and capable organizations are selected; planning orientation and training for operating and service sites (if applicable); and ensuring the ability to provide or secure effective technical assistance?</div> <div>Provide a detailed description of the planning process and a timeline for planning activities?</div> <div>Describe the organization's management and staff structure and the role the board of directors, administrators, and staff members will play in the planning process?</div> <div>Demonstrate that the organization has a sound record of accomplishment; and discuss prior experience in the proposed area of programming and other examples of the organization's leadership in the community?</div> </div>	<div>Comments (Strengths and Weaknesses):</div> <div> <div>SECTION SCORE (scale 1-10): _____</div> </div>

Montana Commission on Community Service Peer Review Score sheet

<div> <div>2007 AmeriCorps Planning Application</div> <div> Applicant: _____ Reviewer Name: _____ </div> </div>	
<div>III. Cost Effectiveness and Budget Adequacy</div>	
<div> <div>Focus Questions:</div> <div>Does the applicant:</div> <div>Describe plans to develop a cost-effective program including how they will develop diverse non-federal resources that will support the program implementation and sustainability?</div> <div>Discuss the adequacy of the budget to support the planning process including the match commitments (cash and in-kind) for the planning process, and how any additional commitments for the planning grant will be secured?</div> </div>	<div> <div>Comments (Strengths and Weaknesses):</div> <div>SECTION SCORE (scale 1-10): _____</div> </div>

Montana Commission on Community Service Peer Review Score sheet

2007 AmeriCorps Planning Application	Applicant: _____ Reviewer Name: _____
<p>Overall Strengths of Proposal:</p> <p>Overall Weaknesses of Proposal:</p> 	
Reviewer Score:	
I. Program Design (50%)	
Rationale and Approach	_____ X .9 = _____
Member Outputs and Outcomes	_____ X .8 = _____
Community Outputs and Outcomes	_____ X .8 = _____
II. Organizational Capability (25%)	_____ X 1.25 = _____
III. Cost Effectiveness and Budget Adequacy (25%)	_____ X 1.25 = _____
TOTAL SCORE:	_____ / 50

Montana Commission on Community Service Consensus Review Score sheet

2007 AmeriCorps Planning Application	Applicant: _____
Overall Strengths of Proposal:	Overall Weaknesses of Proposal:
Questions Needing Resolution and Other Comments:	
Final Consensus Score:	
I. Program Design (50%)	
Rationale and Approach	_____ X .9 = _____
Member Outputs and Outcomes	_____ X .8 = _____
Community Outputs and Outcomes	_____ X .8 = _____
II. Organizational Capability (25%)	_____ X 1.25 = _____
III. Cost Effectiveness and Budget Adequacy (25%)	_____ X 1.25 = _____
TOTAL SCORE:	_____ / 50

Comments of Staff Facilitator on Review Process (use back if necessary):